

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:20-CV-05640-YGR

MISC. ORDER RE LETTER BRIEFS

Dkt. Nos. 1331, 1338

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The Court authorized one post-hearing brief.

First, Apple's attempt to supplement the record with a "Proffer of Evidence relating to Certain Attorney-Client Privilege Designations" to justify Apple's actions is **STRICKEN**. (Dkt. No. 1316.) To the extent relevance existed, it should have been contained within the post-hearing brief. The Court will not consider it and a response is not needed.

Second, the request for additional briefing is **DENIED**. If the Court requires additional submissions, they will be ordered. It is not apparent at this juncture whether a response is needed.

Third, the Court agrees that no response was required to the Court's order at Docket 1171 outlining the process for the evidentiary hearing. Given Apple's unsolicited filing, Epic may file a response by this Friday, March 14, 2025. No reply shall be filed.

Fourth, any response to the Motion to Strike shall be filed by Monday, March 17, 2025. Any reply shall be filed by Wednesday, March 19, 2025.

Fifth, the Court will address the issue of exhibits at a later date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: March 11, 2025


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE